

State of New Mexico
ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT
Office of the Secretary
Harold Runnels Building
1190 St. Francis Drive, P.O. Box 26110
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502-6110
Telephone (505) 827-2855



RON CURRY SECRETARY

DEPUTY SECRETARY

August 26, 2003

Elizabeth Withers NEPA Compliance Officer Los Alamos Site Office 528 35<sup>th</sup> Street Los Alamos, N.M. 87544

FAX: (505) 667-9998

Dear Ms. Withers:

RE: PDEA: PROPOSED LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY (LANL) TRAILS MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (DOE/EA 1431)

This transmits New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) staff comments concerning the abovereferenced Predecisional Draft Environmental Assessment (PDEA).

#### BACKGROUND

The proposed action would implement a Trails Management Program at LANL to address trails used by the public, LANL workers and guests. A Trails Assessment Working Group would be established and make recommendations for specific decisions within the scope of the proposed action. The scope described in this document minimizes adverse environmental impacts and would provide a mechanism to implement the relevant decisions and mitigation measures from the record of decisions of previous Environmental Impact Statements. The PDEA states:

Repair, construction, environmental protection, safety, and security measures would be formulated and implemented. End-state conditions and post-repair or post-construction assessment would be performed.... foster a more balanced use of LANL trails while allowing some recreational use to continue. The establishment of a Trails Management Program would result in enhanced protection of cultural resources with minimal to negligible effects on the other LANL resources.

A second alternative presented though not proposed was the Trails Closure Alternative.

The No Action alternative was described for baseline comparative analysis, as required by NEPA.

Elizabeth R. Withers August 26, 2003 Page 2

We concur with DOE's Proposed Action to develop a Trails Management Program and believes it will help to implement the Mitigation Action Plan developed following the Record of Decision for the LANL Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement, as well as other recent EIS mitigation actions.

There are several specific comments that we offer which may help the DOE improve the accuracy and readability of the final Environmental Assessment.

#### COMMENTS

## General Comment 1:

The descriptions in Chapter 3, Affected Environment, sometimes repeat language we have seen in other NEPA documents. Comments we have made at those times have not always been addressed and hence what we believe are errors are repeated. The boiler plate language used to make the production of these documents more efficient should have a detailed review and incorporate corrections. This will allow all parties to focus on those details pertinent to the particular EIS or EA while not providing poor background information to the decision makers.

There are also occasions where speculation is juxtaposed with factual statements. These probably correspond to areas which are not particularly important to the final decision. However, when there is no information to provide to the decision maker, opinions should not be substituted.

Specific Comment 1: 2.1.1, Individual Project Planning Measures, p. 14

LANL would lead and coordinate a standing Trails Assessment Working Group to advise the LANL Associate Director of Operations on trails management. It would "convene as necessary." It would consist of LANL cultural, ecological, health and safety, security, site planning, and facilities specialists and representatives from NNSA, Los Alamos County, Bandellier National Monument, the Santa Fe National Forest, and the four Accord Pueblos. "Recommendation: The benefits of the Trails Assessment Working Group would be clearer if a minimum schedule for convening and a mechanism for including trail users input were defined.

Specific Comment 2: 2.5.2, Final . . . for the Conveyance and Transfer of Certain Land Tracts . . . . , p. 23

"Tribal Management Program," the final three words of this section, may be an error. The DOE may have meant to have the sentence read, "Trails on lands conveyed or transferred would not be included in the Trails Management Program."

Specific Comment 3: 3.4, Water Quality, p. 29

Perennial springs within LANL supply base flow to streams in some carryons. This is a significant omission as the source of these springs may be the same alluvial and intermediate perched zones which have been affected by LANL operations. <u>Recommendation</u>: Change the language in section 3.4 to reflect a more up-to-date and accurate description of the surface waters on LANL.

Elizabeth R. Withers August 26, 2003 Page 3

Specific Comment 4: 3.7, Health and Safety, p. 30

The document states that workers "are generally considered" and trail users "would generally be" of a specific health condition. Statements like these, which may lead to conclusions based on implied general knowledge, do not provide a strong basis for decision-making. Recommendation: In this section and in others, information provided in the EA upon which decision makers rely should be interpretations of facts and data, and not implied general knowledge.

Specific Comment 5: 3.11, Air Quality, p. 33

The EA comments "LANL employees take appropriate steps to control fugitive dust and particulate emissions during construction activities. Best Achievable Control Measures such as the use of water sprays or soil tacifiers are used to reduce fugitive dust emissions from cleared areas." Recommendation: It would strengthen this statement to cite the policy, SOP or other document which directs, informs, cajoles or requires LANL employees to take appropriate steps. Knowing if these requirements do or do not apply to contractors may also assist decision makers.

Specific Commert 6: 3.11, Air Quality, p. 33

The EA states, "Annual dust emissions from daily windblown dust are generally higher than short-term, construction-related dust emissions." This could indicate either that construction practices in this area are very good, or other site environmental factors are very bad. It opens up the question, not properly related to this EA, about what is being done about the high level of fugitive dust emissions at LANIL. It also could leave a decision maker wondering what the cumulative effect of both sources of dust emissions might be. Recommendation: It may be possible to find a different benchmark to demonstrate that construction related dust emissions make a relatively small contribution to the overall dust emissions. Quantifying the overall dust emissions at LANIL may provide meaningful contextual information.

# Surface Water Quality Comments

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) requires National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit coverage for storm water discharges from construction projects (common plans of development) that will result in the disturbance (or re-disturbance) of one or more acres, including expansions, of total land area. Because this project may exceed one acre, it may require appropriate NPDES Construction General Permit coverage prior to beginning construction.

Among other things, this permit requires that a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) be prepared for the site and that appropriate Best Management Practices (BMPs) be installed and maintained both during and after construction to prevent, to the extent practicable, pollutants (primarily sediment, oil & grease and construction materials from construction sites) in storm water runoff from entering waters of the U.S. This permit also requires that permanent stabilization measures (revegetation, paving, etc.), and permanent storm water management measures (storm water detention/retention structures, velocity dissipation devices, etc.) be implemented post construction to minimize, in the long term, pollutants in storm water runoff from entering these waters.

Elizabeth R. Withers August 26, 2003 Page 4

You should also be aware that EPA requires that all "operators" (see Federal Register/Vol. 68, No. 39087/Tuesday, July 1, 2003) obtain NPDES permit coverage for construction projects. Generally, this means that at least two parties will require permit coverage. The owner/developer of this construction project who has operational control over project specifications (probably the Department of Energy) and the general contractor who has day-to-day operational control of those activities at the site, which are necessary to ensure compliance with the storm water pollution plan (and other permit conditions), will require appropriate NPDES permit coverage for this project. Additional entities requiring permit coverage may include the University of California (as of this writing) and possibly other corporations or institutions that meet the regulatory definition of "operator."

Finally, any project that involves dredge and fill work in a water of the U. S. (river, creek, arroyo, gully, etc.) must obtain a Section 404 (of the Clean Water Act) permit from the Corps of Engineers. Almost all permits for work in a perennial stream have the condition of State water quality certification (Section 401).

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this document.

Sincerely

Gedi Cibas, Ph.D. Environmental Impact Review Coordinator

NMED File No. 1753ER



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

Comments to be considered in the Environmental Assessment (EA):
Please use other side if necessary. I am an an avid mountain bitter and hitty. I am also a mention of the Total Endow Mountain bitter and of the title and the transfer and of the The International Mountain Enter the transfer and the reasons my with and I mountain the how Alamas was because of the variet access to recreational activities within the town Amils. This convictions is very important to us, as well as news to the vitiens of hos Alamas. This is was a large draw to town to several as two engages to have. I think that implementing a facility or magnetic forms is a soul address:

If you would like a response please provide your name and a mailing address:

James Beldzik

Would you like us to send you a copy of the final EA and Finding of No Significant Impact?

Yes	./
	-

No Thanks

If "Yes", where should it be sent?

If you would like to mail your comments send them to: Elzabeth Withers, NEPA Compliance Officer Los Alamos Site Office 528 35" Street, Los Alamos, NM 87544; via fax (505) 667-9998; by e-mail to: ewithers@doeal.gov; or by calling (505) 667-8990.

### The public comment period ends August 5, 2003.

idea. Especially in the fact of trail maintenance and in determiny which trails should be re-routed because of being too near to sustine areas of the lab, themasum tisaspood idea but complete closure to all recreations use will cause an evarious regative injust upon the greatly of recreational activities in this area.

I also ask that you please consider invities members of samy of the recreational chabs in Los Alamos to take part in any trail committees. In my case, the Tath Rides Mountain Bite Club. I was that you please not consider permanent trail closure for recreationalise as an option towards management of trail access.

Think you and please continue to keep the community informal.

Lewes Beldzik.



Public Comments on the Predecisional Draft Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Los Alamos National Laboratory Trails Management Program, Los Alamos, New Mexico

> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

Comments to be considered in the Environmental Assessment (EA): Please use other side if necessary.

I tavor the Trails Management Plan alternative, with copieus, and well-advertised, public input. As much an paratile, the trails should remain open-especially those providing connections believen.

If you would like a response please provide your name and a mailing (CONT. OU address:

Would you like us to send you a copy of the final EA and Finding of No Significant Impact?

Yes

No Thanks

If "Yes", where should it be sent?

If you would like to mail your comments send them to: Elizabeth Withers, NEPA Compliance Officer Los Alamos Site Office 528 35° Street, Los Alamos, NM 87544; via fax (505) 687-9998; by e-mail to: withers@Gocal.oxy: or by calling (505) 687-8690.

The public comment period ends August 5, 2003.

the townset and Lab, townsete and point townset and Lab, townsete and point townset and townsete and point townsete (and top) and townset and Boundelin trade. We have a mostly usual by the community and are surrently an important part of our leves.



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

	Los Alamos, New I	Mexico	
D1	10	nental Assessment (EA):	i he +
to keep all pos	ge DOE/NN3.4 a who trailsopen	nd LANL to do the . I support protection both go als nany ofus consents	ting conbe
accomplished.	a key reason	nany ofus consents	ed to move
If you would like a res address:	ponse please provide	your name and a mailing	
Would you like us to s Significant Impact?	end you a copy of the	final EA and Finding of No	•
Yes	No Thanks		
If "Yes", where shoul	d it be sent?		
Mancy ambrosia	ore the same of		
Elizabeth Withers, NEPA Co Los Alamos Site Office	1		
528 35 <sup>st</sup> Street, Los Alamos ewithers@doeal.gov; or by o	, NM 87544; via fax (505) 66 calling (505) 667-8690.	7-9998; by e-mail to:	
The p	public comment period end	ls August 5, 2003.	

to this remote area is access to the land. say hugely inflated homepines for the surridge laman active trail wer, head of a local youth horsemanship dut, and access to these trails is critical to my weekly activities. trails 3 or 4 days a week. If the trail access of Panto licres is blocked, our conditioning gallops (2 to 4 miles each day) will have to move to the roadside, a serious safety issue, especially for our kids. We are happy to work inconcert of the Lat committee been asked by the Bandelier rangers to be an



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

Trail ces	rside if necessary.  er groups Must be iden  proposed action - especiall  groups like the Tutt R.d.	Litical + contrate
Arnetion ad	Spe other side for mo	we.
lf you would like address: Bart Vande	e a response please provide your name and a	a mailing
address: Bart Vancle	us to send you a copy of the final EA and Fin	R, In Alex
address: Bort Vande Would you like	us to send you a copy of the final EA and Fin	R, In Alex
address: Bort Vance & Would you like Significant Impa	us to send you a copy of the final EA and Fin	R, In Alex
address: Bort Vance & Would you like Significant Impa	us to send you a copy of the final EA and Fi	R, In Alex

The public comment period ends August 5, 2003.

ewithers@doeal.gov; or by calling (505) 667-8690.

#2 Presentation a meeting was overwhelmingly Negative - No positive versons for keeping trails was presented UNTIL issue was #3 Closure or vestvictions tremendously impact socioeronomic issues If a communater can't trust that a trail will be open the commuter must find another commutions option (typically using the words - a much more damacing out dangerous oftion). #4 Mental health impect on LANL workersuse of trails on breaks -laving lunch ets. #5 closing All trails las currently is the situation) creates a security problem because now security has to patrol the entire 52 y.mi. continuously because there are no people present to observe any suspicious activities



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

Comments to be considered in the Environmental Assessment (EA): Please use other side if necessary.

The "statement of perpose and need for agency action" clearly lays out the reasons for the proposed program. However, the "primary considerations" list make a no mention of low

If you would like a response please provide your name and a mailing address:

P. C. Gray Chart Till theet to Almost High Col

Would you like us to send you a copy of the final EA and Finding of No Significant Impact?

Yes

No Thanks

If "Yes", where should it be sent?

Same as above address

If you would like to mail your comments send them to: Elizabeth Withers, NEPA Compliance Officer

Los Alamos Site Office 528 35<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Alamos, NM 87544; via fax (505) 667-9998; by e-mail to: <a href="mailto:ewithers@doeal.gov">ewithers@doeal.gov</a>; or by calling (505) 667-8690.

consideration in decisions for impact on goality of life for the los Alamos community. The geople in direct contact with the lab have a major stake in the recreational use of appropriate laboratory trails, both for their own use an other effect their loss would have on property value and tourism. The access to these trails was allowed for a pupose, and those purposes are still valid and should be a major consideration in any action taken with regard to main tancer a closure. In addition to the thirty lateratory



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

		nsidered in the de if necessary		ntai Assessin	ent (EA):	
		Hounts		· Clas	is a	LANC
						nendous
trai	: / mais	Lanece	T magno	raement	exper	ience -
Rich	Kelly	is trail a	1865 10	inmittee	chair.	
	200					

If you would like a response please provide your name and a mailing address:

Lain - Beart Venden Plas

Would you like us to send you a copy of the final EA and Finding of No Significant Impact?

Yes

No Thanks

If "Yes", where should it be sent?

If you would like to mail your comments send them to: Elizabeth Withers, NEPA Compliance Officer Los Alamos Site Office 528 35<sup>th</sup> Street, Los Alamos, NM 87544; via fax (505) 667-9998; by e-mail to: ewithers@doeal.gov; or by calling (505) 667-8690.

The public comment period ends August 5, 2003.

he proposal action does have potential positive impacts that have NOT been clearly communicated such as:

- D Convent lack of glan allows TOTAL closures at the WHIM of LANC
- 2) Three are no resources spent on improving the tremendores ASSET these trails represent to LANL, the DOE, NNSA, Los Aldenos, and visitors to the ared.
- 3 Those is no coordination with neighbors on trail use issues both positive buesative.
- 4) There is NO reordination within LAM between H+5, Security, Human Resources, Field workers, Facility operators, etc.
- (3) There is no way to tap into the community to assist with mitizating only potential nesative impacts.

  Finally This needs to be presented in a much more POSITIVE light. People WANT to know "WHAT'S in it for me" to will approach this from a veve NECATIVE viewpoint such as: "They are taking MY trails!"



> Public Meeting Wednesday July 30th, 2003 6pm – 8 pm Fuller Lodge Los Alamos, New Mexico

Comments to be considered in the Environmental Assessment (EA):

Please use other side if necessary.

To A Riders Hountain Bike Class is a LANC Scondioned organization that has Tremendous trail main screece a management experience.

Rick Kally is trail access committee chair.

If you would like a response please provide your name and a mailing address:

| Gia - Bant Vanden Plas

Would you like us to send you a copy of the final EA and Finding of No Significant Impact?

If you would like to mail your comments send them to:
Elizabeth Withers, NEPA Compliance Officer
Los Alamos Site Office

If "Yes", where should it be sent?

Ves

528 35th Street, Los Alamos, NM 87544; via fax (505) 667-9998; by e-mail to: ewithers@doeal.gov; or by calling (505) 667-8690.

The public comment period ends August 5, 2003.

THE REASON THE IS AS FOLLOWS

1- ANCHO AREA TRAILS PROVIDE A VALUABLE WINTER RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITY, WHEN OTHER ANEA TRAILS ANE TYPICALLY TOO SNOWY FOR WONTANHILL HIKING.

- 2. LOS ALAMOS CARTON TRAILS PROVIDE POTENTIAL
  FOOT LINKS FROM TO WASITE TO ADJACENT TECHAMENS
  3,6,53 ETC. WHICH ON PROVIDE A VEEFUL ALTERNATIVE
  COMMUTING PATH.
- 3. IN GENERAL, I BELIEVE THAT THE TRATER

  NETWORK ARE ASTONIFICANT DRAW FOR

  BOTH LAND EMPLOYEES AND TO A LESSEN EXTENT

  TOURISTS, AND FOR RESTDENTS MAKE THIS A AUCH

  NIZEN PLACE TO LIVE THAN IT WOULD BE

  OTHERWISE, THUS I THINK IT IS IN LAM'S

  BEST INTENEST TO LEAVE THEM OPEN

  WHENEVER PRACTICAL.

No Thanks